

SRI R. D. KITTUR (Minister of State for Regulated Markets):
I move :—

“That the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Amendment) Bill, 1976, be passed.”

MADAM SPEAKER.—The question is:—

“That the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Amendment) Bill, 1976, be passed.”

The motion was adopted and the Bill was passed

The Bangalore Development Authority Bill, 1976

Consideration of Clauses :—

(Debate Continued)

Clauses 2

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA (Fort).—I move :

“That in sub-clause (b) after the words “drainage” the words “Water Supply, Playgrounds, Parks” shall be added.”

“That in sub-clause (c) after the words “before the commencement of the Act the words “and such area situated 5 K.M. beyond the areas described as above,” shall be added.”

MADAM SPEAKER.—Amendment moved:

“That in sub-clause (b) after the words “drainage” the words “Water Supply, Playgrounds, parks” shall be added.”

“That in sub-clause (c) after the words “before the commencement of the Act” the words “and such area situated 5 K.M. beyond the areas described as above” shall be added.”

11-30 A.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಶಾಮಣ್ಣ. —ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎನೇನು ಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಮನುಷ್ಯನಿಗೆ ಗಾಳಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅತ್ಯಾವಶ್ಯಕವಾದುದು ನೀರು. ಆ ನೀರನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕಾದುದು ಆದ್ಯಕರ್ತವ್ಯ. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ ಎರಡು ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಟರ ಸಪ್ಲೈಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಮಿನಿಟ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಕೆಲವು ಸಾರಿ ಜನಗಳು ಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಕೇಳುವಾಗ ನೀರಿನ ಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಕೊಡ ಬರುತ್ತವೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದು ನನ್ನ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ.

ಈಗ ಎನಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಅರ್ಬನ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ ಡೆವಲಪ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಏಜೆನ್ಸಿಯೂ ಅರ್ಬನ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ ಮತ್ತೂ ಮೆಟ್ರೋಪೊಲಿಟನ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಡೆಫಿನ್‌ಷನ್ ಇದೆ. ಅರ್ಬನ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ ಯಾವುದು, ಮೆಟ್ರೋಪೊಲಿಟನ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ ಯಾವುದು ಎನ್ನುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಡೆಫಿನಿಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿದ್ದರೆ ತೊಂದರೆ

ಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಗೌನಮೆಂಟದವರು ಪಾಸ ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಿಟಿ ಏರಿಯಾದಿಂದ ಐದು ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ಎಂದೂ ಹಾಕಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆ ರೀತಿ ವಿಂತಿ ಹಾಕಿದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ದಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದೂ ನನ್ನ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯಿಲ್ಲ ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

SRI. M. S. KRISHNAN.—I move :

“That in sub-clause (f) after the words “building operations” the words “which means erection or construction of buildings and” shall be added.”

MADAM SPEAKER.—Amendment moved :

“That in sub-clause (f) after the words “building operations” the words “which means erection or construction of buildings and” shall be added”.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಹೌಸಿಂಗ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಸೇರಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಆಧಾರಿಟಿ ಹೋಗಿಬಿಡುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಕನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್‌ಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು. Building construction also has to become part of the work of the Bangalore Development Authority. According to the definition here building operation includes rebuilding operations. This looks as though it does not include the building construction itself and it includes only rebuilding operations. Therefore I thought this building operation is going to be taken up by the B.D.A. since the Housing Board is being merged in it. That is why I have moved this amendment.

SRI. D. DEVARAJ URS.—Madam Speaker, the amendments moved by Mr. Shamanna to include certain other amenities is uncalled for. If he reads through sub-clause (b) of clause 2 it includes road, street, lighting, drainage, public works and such other conveniences as the Government may, by notification, specify to be an amenity for the purposes of this Act. Therefore the apprehension envisaged by Mr. Shamanna is not correct. Because nothing prevents the Government to ask the Bangalore Development Authority to take up other things also.

CLAUSE 3

MADAM SPEAKER.—There are amendments. Sri T. R. Shamanna may move his amendment.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—I move :

“That for sub-clause 3 (f) the following words shall be substituted, namely :

“The Commissioner of the City of Bangalore Corporation.”

“That after proviso to sub-clause (4) the following proviso shall be added : provided that after this Act comes into force every Chairman and other non-official Members shall file with the Authority a statement of their assets and liabilities when they assume charge as members and at the end of each year as long as they continue to be members of the Authority.”

MADAM SPEAKER.—Amendment moved :

For sub-clause (3) (f) the following words shall be substituted, namely.—

“The commissioner of the City of Bangalore Corporation”

After proviso to sub-clause (4) the following proviso shall be added :

Provided that after this Act comes into force every Chairman and other non-Official Members shall file with the Authority a statement of their assets and liabilities when they assume charge as Members and at the end of each year as long as they continue to be members of the Authority.”

Sri M. S. Krishnan may move his amendment.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—I move :

“That for sub-clause 3(g) the following words three persons who are members of the Karnataka Legislative Assembly” shall be substituted.

(ii) For sub-clause 3 (h) the following words shall be inserted.

“(1) ‘Five others’ of whom (a) two representatives from the Registered trade Unions in the City.

(b) two representatives from the women’s organisations in the City.

(c) one representative of the Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe”.

MADAM SPEAKER.—Amendment moved :

“That for sub-clause 3 (g) the following words “three persons who are members of the Karnataka Legislative Assembly.” shall be substituted.

(ii) for sub-clause 3 (h) the following words shall be inserted.—

(1) “Five others” of whom (a) two representatives from the Registered Trade Unions in the City.

(b) 'two representatives from the women's Organisations in the City.

(c) One representative of the Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe."

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—Will speak on his amendment.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಶಾಮಣ್ಣ.—ಈಗ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನು ಒಂದು ಅಥಾರಿಟಿ ಇದೆಯೋ ಅದು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಆನ್‌ವಿಡ್ ಆಗಬಾರದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈಗಾಗಲೇ 15 ಜನ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ, 15 ಜನ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾದರೆ ಅನ್‌ವಿಡ್ ಆಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. (ಎಫ್.)ನಲ್ಲಿ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ಆಫೀಸರ್ ಆಫ್ ದಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಹೆಲ್ತ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಫ್ಯಾಮಿಲಿ ಪ್ಲಾನಿಂಗ್ ಸರ್ವೀಸಸ್ ನಾಟ್ ಬಿಲೋದಿ ರ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ಆಫ್ ಎ ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನಿನವರು ಒಂದೂವರೆ ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಬರ್ಚು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇಬ್ಬರು ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲರ್‌ಗಳು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಅವರಿಗೆ ಏನು ಅನುಕೂಲ ಬೇಕೋ ಅವನ್ನು ನನ್ನಂಥವರು ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಮೀಷನರ್ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ "an officer of the Health and Family planning Services not below the rank of a Joint Director" ಎನ್ನುವ ಬದಲಿಗೆ "Commissioner of the City of Bangalore Corporation" ಎಂದು ಹಾಕುವುದು ಉತ್ತಮ ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಸಲಹೆ.

ಸಬ್‌ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ (4) ಪ್ರಾವಿಸೋ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಇಷ್ಟೆ. ಸಾಧಾರಣವಾಗಿ ಅನೇಕ ಸಾರಿ ಇಂಥ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೆಂಬರಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕವರು ದುಡ್ಡಿರುವ ಸಾಹುಕಾರರು ಆಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಇದನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಚೇರ್ಮನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ನಾನ್‌ಅಫಿಷಿಯರ್ ಮೆಂಬರ್ಸ್ ಅವರ ಅಸೆಸ್ ಅಂಡ್ ರೈಯಬಿಲಿಟೀಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಫೈರ್ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಉತ್ತಮ ಎಂದು ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

MADAM SPEAKER.—Sri M. S. Krishnan will now speak on his amendment.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—Yesterday the Hon'ble Chief Minister spoke about this. He said that he is neither against my amendment nor in favour of it, and that only a trial is made and let the composition of members as found in the Bill work and we will see later on. My intention in bringing the amendment is to give some representation. I have sought to increase the number to 19 from 15. I have only specified the categories of representatives of the people. The total number will be 19 and not more than that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಲು ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಈಗತಾನೇ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅನ್‌ವಿಡ್ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು, ಮತ್ತು 4 ಸೇರಿಸಿದರೆ ಅನ್‌ವಿಡ್ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ನಾನು ಆ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಲು ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಶಾಮಣ್ಣನವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—It will not be unwieldy if proper representation is given to these bodies. You may say that in such a case some others may say that no representation is given to middle classes and so on. There can be no argument for that. Bangalore City is a growing

City and large number of workers are here. You have of course though not explicitly mentioned you have indirectly given representation to S.Cs. But, why not you make a specific provision ?

With regard to State Legislature Members, it states here as Karnataka State Legislature. It may be Assembly or Council. In the Assembly, Elected representatives are there. You may say why should there be elected members of Assembly only and why not nominated members of the Council who may be intellectuals and who know about the City. My basic objection is I am opposed to the idea of nominating members of the Council. It must be real elected representatives. Elected members are Assembly members and so give them representatives, with the delimitation of constituencies the number of members is going to be increased. Under those circumstances one more may be fair. I request you to kindly consider my amendment.

Again in clause 16 (1) the sub-clauses (a), (b) and (c) reads thus :

“16. (1) shall, within the limits of the area comprised in the scheme provide for, —

(a) the acquisition of any land which, in the opinion of the Authority, will be necessary for or affected by the execution of the scheme ;

(b) laying and re-laying out all or any land including the construction and reconstruction of buildings and formation and alteration of streets ;

(c) drainage, water supply and electricity ;” If it is the apprehension of the Hon’ble Member that these things are likely to be excluded I submit there is on such fear at all and it is not the intention of the Government or the Authority to exclude such things.

The Hon’ble Member Mr. Shamanna has also moved another amendment. I would like to draw his attention to sub-clause (c) of clause 2 wherein it is made very clear that the scope or jurisdiction of the Bangalore Development Authority is not confined to five or three K. Ms., but it would be enabled to take up any work that will be notified by Government, from time to time. Therefore we are not binding either the Government or the Authority to a particular limit.

Then with regard to the amendment moved by Hon’ble Member Sri M.S. Krishnan I would like to draw his attention to sub-clause (3) of clause 16 which says :

“16. (3) may, within and without the limits aforesaid provide for the construction of houses.”

Supposing the Housing Board is in operation in some area and it does not come within the scope of the Authority to enable the B.D.A. to take up such works we have said within and without the limits. So the question or the doubt of the Hon'ble Member as to who should take up the work in the absence of the Housing Board is clarified in this clause. Under these circumstances I would request the Hon'ble Members not to press for their amendments.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—I do not press for my amendment.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—I also do not press for my amendment.

MADAM SPEAKER.—Has the Hon'ble Members the leave of the House to withdraw their amendments?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—Yes.

The amendments were by leave of the House withdrawn.

MADAM SPEAKER.—Now I will put clause 2 to the vote of the House. The question is:

“ That clause 2 do stand part of the Bill ”

The motion was adopted

Clause 2 was added to the Bill

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS :—Madam, yesterday I said something about increase in the representation. I have to give the same arguments here. Not that I am totally refuting what Sri Krishnan has argued. I only said that there are two aspects. One can be to make the board as far as possible a viable unit and the other argument is to make it more representative. We have been seeing both. Now that it has been done, I again say, let us wait and work it. Let us see how it functions and if in the course of implementing this Act we find that the representation given to non-official members is small or big or is insufficient, we can think of those amendments. For the present I would plead that let things remain as they are.

So far as Sri Shamanna's amendment is concerned, the Corporation is free to send two people. One of them may be the Commissioner. Let us see how it works. In case the Corporation feels tomorrow that commissioner is also required in addition to the Councillors, we may bring an amendment to that effect. For the present I would request him not press his amendment. I would request Sri Krishnan also to withdraw his amendment.

As regard Sri Shamanna's amendment No.6, I do not know what is the provision of law so far as the Corporators are concerned. Even in the Legislature you do not have such law which compels a member to give his assets and liabilities. If they do it suo-moto, well and good and if they do not do it, we can come to know what is what.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN : Sri, the Chief Minister was pleased to say that a meeting of M. L. As and officials will be convened to examine this matter in depth- I request him to convene it immediately.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS:—Now that the authority has come into being, some time in the course of this month or the next month, I would like to meet them for my benefit. Everything is new.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA :—Madam, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendments Nos. 3 and 6.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN :—Madam, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendments Nos. 4 and 5

Amendments No. were by leave withdrawn,

MADAM SPEAKER ;— The question is ;

“That clause 3 do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted.

clause 3 was added to the Bill.

(Clause 4)

MADAM SPEAKER :—The Hon'ble Member Sri M. S. Krishnan may move his amendments.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— Madam, I beg to move ;
that for sub-clause (d) the following shall be substituted :—
“has been dismissed from the service of the Central Government or the State Government.”

MADAM SPEAKER :—Amendments moved ;

That for sub-clause (d) the following shall be substituted ; “has been dismissed from the service of the Central Government or the State Government.”

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN :—sub clause (d) of clause 4 has been worded as follows :

“No person shall be appointed as or continue to be a member if (d) has been removed or dismissed from the service of the Central Government or a State Government or Corporation owned or controlled by the Central Government or a State Government;”

My first amendment refers to this particular clause. If a person is employed by the Central Government or the State Government, it is understandable at here a concept has been inserted that even if he is a member of a corporation owned or controlled by the Central Government or

a State Government, then he may not be appointed as a member. My submission is that in Bangalore City the Corporations owned or controlled by the Central Government and State Governments are quite many. For instance, there are public undertakings like H. M. T. H. A. L. Bharath Earth Movers B. E. L., REMCO, etc. Quite a number of them get elected to the Corporation. Some of them are Employed after getting elected to the Corporation. Some of them are dismissed after getting elected to the Corporation. So, there is no bar so far industrial employees are concerned to get elected to the various Corporations even if they are-dismissed from service. Under these circumstances, I do not understand why there should be a bar on such Employed being appointed by the Government to the B. D. A. So far as Government servant are concerned, they are governed by Government rules and by service conditions whereas the industrial employees are governed by the Industrial Disputes Act. So, it is not proper to put a bar on them. So, I would request the Chief Minister to accept this amendment.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS :—Madam If I have understood the Hon'ble Member right, he wants that in case the persons who have been in the service of corporations owned or controlled by the Central Government or the State Government are dismissed or removed, they should not have this disqualification merely on the ground of their dismissal or removal and that they should be free to be appointed or nominated on the B. D. A. is it the intention of the Hon'ble Member to allow on this Board the members of the dismissed Government servants? Your amendment is that the entire sub-clause (d) is to be substituted by the words mentioned in your amendment. Do you mean to suggest by your amendment that only the dismissed employees of the State or Central Government who have incurred disqualification but not the people of Corporations owned by the State or the Central Government, to be represented on this authority? Why not I stretch that argument of yours to those dismissed persons of the Government? A corporation might have removed persons for various reasons and the Government also might have removed persons for various reasons. Why do you make a kind of distinction there? You must either agree with whatever Government does by way of removal or remove the distinction. He may himself think about it.

12 Noon

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—The two sets of employees are governed by different rules.

(Mr Deputy Speaker in the chair)

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—There are Corporation owned or controlled by the State or the Central Government, This does not refer to Corporations of private people. It only refers to the basic sector Corporations. The money involved in these Corporations is that of the Government. There will be arguments and counter arguments to suit a particular occasion. That is why we have purposely included the corporations owned or controlled by the Government. Again assuming that we have done this, there is no death for talents in this country. It only refers to the dismissed persons. Why the Hon'ble member is so sensitive about it I cannot understand. There are persons who have been dismissed have become members and also Ministers. There the Peoples Representation Act, comes into play. So long as that disqualification does not come, nobody can prevent him from becoming a member. There are people who will elect such persons. There are innumerable instances even though persons have been found guilty of moral turpitude and against whom grave charges have been levelled and proved, still the people have elected such persons. I am sure such persons are found in all the parties.

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA.—Such disqualifications have not been enumerated in the Peoples Representation Act.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—People against whom commissions were appointed, have become Chief Ministers especially in Bihar. The Socialist Party was very much involved there. Sri Lingappa has a tendency to forget about his own party affairs and talks of other parties. Election is by the people, I cannot help it. Here this is an executive authority. We as members are not the executive authority. We are only legislation making body. The executive is different. Here the BDA is an executive authority. Therefore so many precautions are necessary. Whatever law we make are executed by some one else so far as Government affairs are concerned. Here the BDA does not pass resolutions but merely executes. As pointed out by me in the beginning it self that this is a new Act brought on the model of the Delhi Development Authority. I am sure the members would appreciate my point and do not press for their amendments.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Member Sri Krishnan has not moved his amendment sub-clause of as contained in the list for clause 4. He may kindly move it now;

SRI MR. S. KRISHNAN.—I beg to move—

That for sub-clause (f) the following shall be substituted'

“Ceases to be a Councillor of the Corporation of a Member of the Karnataka Legislative Assembly.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Amendment moved—

That for sub-clause (f) the following shall be substituted.
“Ceases to be a Councillor of the Corporation or a Member of the Karnataka Legislative Assembly,”

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—My amendment suggests that the membership of the Board ceases when he ceases to be a member of the Corporation and it also applies in the case of the legislators who cease to be the members of the Legislature.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I would request the Hon'ble Member to read sub-clause (g) of clause 3. It clearly says “two members who are members of the Karnataka State Legislature”. So, the question of their not being a member does not arise at all. The moment he becomes a member of the Board, he should be a member of the Assembly or the Council.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—What does the Hon'ble Member do?

SRI M.S. KRISHNAN.—I don't press the amendment.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I think permission may be granted to withdraw the Amendment Nos. 7&8 of Clause-4 by Sri M.S. Krishnan.

The Amendments Were by leave withdrawn.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Now I shall put the Clause No. 4 to the vote of the House.

The question is:

“That clause 4 do stand part of the Bill”.

The motion was adopted

Clause 4 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE-5.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—What does the Hon'ble Member propose to do with regard to the amendment to Clause 5?

M S. KRISHNAN.—I don't move it.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—So, I will put Clause 5 to the vote of the House. The question is:

“That Clause 5 do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 5 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE-6

SRI T.R. SHAMANNA.—Sir, my intention of bringing this amendment is this. If we take into consideration some of the Trust Board Members property we could know the real position what they were before becoming Trust Board Members and what they are now after becoming Trust Board Members will disclose the fact of amassing wealth by illegal means. But since that clause covers that portion I do not like to move my amendment.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—So, I will put the Clause 6 to the vote of the House. The question is:

“That Clause 6 do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted.

Clause was added to the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is;

“That Clauses 7 and 8 do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted

Clauses 7 and 8 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE-9.

SRI T.R. SHAMANNA.—I beg to move.

“That after sub-clause (2) the following shall be added: The proceedings and the decisions of the sub-committee shall be placed before the Authority for ratification at its next meeting.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Amendment moved.

“That after sub-clause (2) the following shall be added. The proceedings and the decisions of the sub-committee shall be placed before the Authority for ratification at its next meeting.”

SRI T.R. SHAMANNA.—There is provision for the appointment of sub-committees. They are very important committees. I have just brought an amendment to the effect that the proceedings of the sub-committee should be placed before the main committee for ratification.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—Sir, the spirit with which sub-committees are constituted, are being carried out by the sub-committees. If you kindly read sub-clause 2 of clause 9, it is very clear.

“Every committee appointed under sub-sec. 1 shall conform to any instructions that may from time to time be given to it by the Authority and the Authority may at any time alter the constitution of any committee so appointed or rescind any such appointment. The Chairman shall be the President of every such committee.”

The intention of delegated powers are to have quick and smooth functioning of the authority. Under sub-Clause 2 (1) where the authorities can alter remove or substitute—all those things are there. If you want ratification every time, than there is delay. With a view to see that delay is overcome this provision has been made.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—According to Clause 9 (1) the powers of the Committee is to enquire and report.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—That is why I don't think there is any need for this amendment.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA —So, I am not pressing for it Sir.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Now, I will put Clause 9 to the vote of the House. The question is :

“That Clause 9 do stand part of the Bill.”

The Motion was adopted.

Clause 9 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 10.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—I beg to move :

“That the following proviso shall be added to sub-clause (2) : The Government shall sanction or reject the estimate within 30 days after the estimate reaches the Government”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Motion moved.

“That the following proviso shall be added to sub-clause (2): The Government shall sanction or reject the estimate within 30 days after the estimate reaches the Government.”

SRI T R SHAMANNA.—My object of bringing this amendment is only this. In many cases for the sake of sanction the Government retain the estimates for a very long time. With the result the estimates have to be revised and there will be a heavy financial loss to the Government. If the matter is expedited, there may not be any loss. With that purpose I have brought this amendment.

With regard to the delegation of powers during his absence, in some cases though there are experts powers are not delegated. If the Chairman delegate the powers to some experts Members there will not be any delay in the functioning of the Committee. With that point in view, I have moved this amendment.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—Although I appreciate the anxiety of the hon'ble Member and the spirit with which he has brought this amendment, it may be somewhat difficult to bind the Government statutorily and say within 30 days this should be done and all that. What all the hon'ble Member said about this will be taken into consideration and I am prepared to say that we will give instructions to the Officers and the Secretaries concerned that in the first place it should not be delayed because the whole spirit with which we have been trying to act upon will be defeated if there is delay. This new Act is brought in order to see that delays are avoided. I request the hon'ble Member to withdraw his amendments.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—What does the Hon'ble Member propose to do?

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—I beg leave of the House to withdraw amendment Nos. 12 and 13. I do not press the amendments.

The Amendments were by leave, withdrawn

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

“That Clause 10 do stand part of the Bill.”

The Motion was adopted

Clause 10 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE-11

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

“That Clause 11 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted

Clause 11 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE-12

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—There is a notice of amendment to Clause 12 by hon'ble Members Sriyaths M. S. Krishnan and B. V. Kakillaya.

SRI. M. S. KRISHNAN.—Sir, I beg to move:

“That in sub-clause (3) for the words ‘in sub-section (1) of section 51’ the words ‘in sub-section (1) of section 50’ shall be substituted.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Amendment Moved:

“That in sub-clause (e) for the words’ in sub-section (1) of section 51 the words “in sub-section (1) of Section 50” shall be substituted.”

SRI. M. S. KRISHNAN.—There is some mistake in regard to section 51. Section 51 refers to the Constitution of Art Commission. Actually, the reference should be in regard to Section 50 which deals with appointments etc., Therefore, instead of Section 51 mentioned in Clause 12 (e), I request the Government to accept my amendment and change it to Section 50 which is relevant.

SRI. D. LEVARAJ URS.—I am sorry this discrepancy has crept in. It is an anomaly and I do appreciate the amendment of the hon’ble Member. He has gone into the Bill very carefully. I thank him and accept his amendment.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

“That in sub-clause (e) for the words ‘in sub-section (1) of Section 51’ the words ‘in sub-section (1) of Section 5)’ shall be substituted.”

The Amendment was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will put Clause 12 as amended to the vote of the House.

The question is:

“That Clause 12 as amended do stand part of the Bill.”

The Motion was adopted

Clause 12 as amended was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 13

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— The question :

“That Clause 13 do stand part of the Bill.”

The Motion was adopted

Clause 13 was added to the Bill

CLAUSE 14

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—There is a notice of amendment to Clause 14 by Hon’ble Member Sri T. R. Shamanna.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.— Sir, I beg to move :

“That after the words “the area under the jurisdiction” the following words shall be added” including congested areas in the city and adjoining villages to the Metropolitan area.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— Amendment moved:

“That after the words “the area under the jurisdiction the following words shall be added “including congested areas in the City and adjoining villages to the Metropolitan area.”

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.— This is an important amendment. This Bill is said to have been prepared on the modal of the Delhi Metropolitan authority and if we compare the Old Delhi and the Metropolitan Area, there is a vast difference as to how the City should be kept. In Bangalore City, there are many areas like Cottonpet and Cubbonpet. There are also thickly populated areas which are very much neglected and there are so many vataras where hundreds of families are living. Since it is the Bangalore Development Authority, it must also give same attention to the City areas which are very bad and which requires developments. Some times, acquisition may be necessary; some times, widening of roads and other things may be necessary. Since the conditions of the people who are residing in those places are very bad. I request that the steps may be taken to see that the thickly populated areas which come under Municipality must also get all facilities. People who live in congested areas are mostly poor people and they are leading a life which is even worse than the people who are in slums, because in slum areas, they get atleast fresh air whereas in these congested areas, they don't get fresh air and light. Therefore, same attention has to be given to the thickly populated areas situated within the Corporation limit.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— It is covered to Clause 14 and definition Clause 2(c) I would like to bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Member to Clause 14 which says as follows:—

“**OBJECTS OF THE AUTHORITY.**— The objects of the Authority shall be to promote and secure the development of the Bangalore Metropolitan area and for that purpose the Authority shall have the power to acquire, hold, manage and dispose of movable and immovable property, whether within or outside the area under its jurisdiction, to carry out building, engineering and other operations and generally to do all things necessary or expedient for the purpose of such development and for purposes incidental thereto.”

Again, I request the Hon'ble Member to read Clause 2(c) which is more comprehensive in a sense that it empowers the Government by Notification to extend the jurisdiction of the B.D.A. from time to

time to area to area. Therefore, some of these areas mentioned by the Hon'ble Member which are undeveloped will also be covered. I request the Hon'ble Member to withdraw his amendment.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.— When Trust Board was existence, they were not stepping into the Corporation areas on the ground that they did not come under their jurisdiction. I wanted that thickly populated areas within the Corporation jurisdiction must also be developed.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— The functioning of B.D.A. is more comprehensive and it can enter even inside the Corporation area,

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— What does the Hon'ble Member propose to do ?

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.— I beg leave of the House to withdraw the amendment, I do not press the amendment,

The amendment was, by leave, withdrawn

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— Now, I will put the clause to the vote of the House. The question is :

“That clause 14 do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted

clause 14 was added to the Bill.

12-30 P.M.

CLAUSE 15

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— There is a notice of amendment by Sri T. R. Shamanna.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.— I beg to move the following amendment :

The after sub-clause (3) the following new sub-clauses (4) and (5) shall be added;

“(4) May have a section with officers qualified in designing to give designs for construction of durable and economical houses for construction in different categories of sites allotted to the public.”

“(5) to construct Community Hall in different areas under its jurisdiction and rest house for the persons coming from m fussy areas charging reasonable rent.’

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— Amendment moved :

That after sub-clause (3) the following new sub-clauses (4) and (5) shall be added;

"(4) may have a section with officers qualified in designing to give designs for construction of durable and economical houses for construction in different categories of sites allotted to the public."

"(5) to construct Community Hall in different areas under its jurisdiction and rest houses for the persons coming from mofussil areas charging reasonable rent."

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.— We should have a section where they will have selected designs prepared to suit the several areas where the lay outs have been formed so that they may be a source of guidance to persons who buy sites and wish to build houses at a reasonable cost. The building cost is very high at present. This could be done even by an executive order.

The other point is this. The B. D. A. has taken up several functions and now Bangalore City is a very big city and hundreds of hotels are coming up, where they are charging exorbitant rents for people coming from mofussil areas. With a view to give them convenience, the Corporation has built a number of community houses. Likewise, the B. D. A. may keep in mind the build of community halls and rest houses for persons coming from mofussil areas at a reasonable rent.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— Sir, I would like to draw the attention of the Hon'ble member to section 49 schedule of officers and servants to be submitted for sanction of Government. Even without this amendment the B.D.A. can do it. The B.D.A. should certainly have a designs section. It is left to them to have it. We are not going to say: 'You must have an auditor; you must have that and soon. And, if it comes to the notice of the Government, we may also say: 'This thing you should do'. In the amendment, you are saying that designs section should be there. Why should be mention it? Then can I say: 'There should be an Engineering section?'. If you want to construct a building, definitely they must have an Engineer. It goes without saying. They will have engineers; architects also must be there. That is why there is a separate section. These things have been taken care of. The other point is the member's anxiety to see that mofussil people coming here for rest are taken care of. Better we leave this matter to the authority itself. Section 1C(d) clearly deals with this aspect of establishment or construction of markets and other public requirements or conveniences. What the Hon'ble member is envisaging in his amendment are covered by these two words 'public requirements or conveniences.' so, all these things are considered and I would request the Hon'ble member to withdraw it.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—What I said was that certain designs for certain types of houses should be prepared to be helpful to persons who wish to build the houses. Assistance should be given to build the houses economically and in a good way, so that people may have models of these plans. I did not mean that there is no section or anything. Designs may be given to the public for building houses.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—What does the Hon'ble member propose to do?

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—I beg leave of the house to withdraw the amendment. I do not press the amendment.

The amendment was, by leave, withdrawn

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Now, I will put the clause to the vote of House. The question is:

“That clause 15 do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted

clause 15 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 16

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—There is a notice of Amendment to Clause 16 by Sri M. S. Krishnan.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—In regardment amendment 17 — the first portion — I don't wish to move it.

I beg to move:

“That for Sub-Clause (2) (d) the following shall be substituted:

“Sites, parks, play-grounds, stadium, swimming pool, Recreation grounds, School buildings, Markets, parking place, theatres, Police Station, Co-operative Societies, Public Urinals, Lavatories, Hospitals, Petrol Bunks, Banks, Burial and Cremation grounds and sites for public purposes of other kinds.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER:—Amendment moved:

That for sub-clause (2) (d) the following shall be substituted:

“Sites, parks, play-grounds, stadium, swimming pool, Recreation grounds, School buildings, markets, parking place, theatres, Police Station, Co-operative Societies, Public Urinals, Lavatories, Hospitals, Petrol Bunks, Banks, Burial and Cremation grounds and sites for public purposes of other kinds.”

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— I am not saying that has been stated in the Bill do not come. In the Karnataka Improvement Board's Bill which was passed yesterday, the very same clauses have been included in this Bill. It was stated yesterday the same clauses have been taken from the Delhi Development Authority Act. Why I am trying to press upon it is that the authority trying to develop the area will have to think of those things that have been mentioned there. It is not the thing that you do not give attention to the needs of the public which I have mentioned in the amendment. What I have mentioned in the amendment is for public service, and therefore it would be better these things should be substituted. I hope the Chief Minister will accept it.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— The Hon.ble Member wants to substitute his amendment. I have already replied the similar question yesterday and the same type of reply I will have to give now. The amendment moved by the Hon. Member is not exhaustive and there may be so many things to be taken up in future. I think I am not mistaken if I say the Bill that was passed yesterday on the lines of C. I. T. B. is exhaustive of C. I. T. B. Act.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— Yesterday you stated it was on the lines of Delhi Development Act.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— No No. I would have read what was written in the year 1952. We have come to know with the passage of time, we cannot enumerate the same amenities at all. There is no limit for the amenities to be provided and the same may be more than what is stated in the Delhi Development Act. It is not possible to enumerate all amenities in the Bill. Therefore we have broadly put amenities and such things which could be done by the Authority. The people may ask for Hanumantha Devara Temple and some other people may ask for Shanidevara Temple and nothing prevents them. Now-a-days the demand for Kalayana Mantap is more and the people are waiting in queue for booking six months in advance. There are so many such things. Broadly we have published amenities. They may take up even something more what has been enumerated by the amendment.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— In fact in the original it includes all, I think it is better to have and give them directly to the authority.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— That becomes final. If it is final what you say is correct. It should be left to the Authority what should be done and where it should be done. There will be several schemes and plans. What should be taken up and where should be taken up is to be decided by the authority themselves. Supposing the authority have decided to put up a Kalyana Mandir in a particular area to meet

demand of the public. Should I say that you should put up another one. These should be left the Development Authority who will be the best judge for such things. In every planning scheme, we should not interfere. Everywhere you must have roads sewerage lines and water lines. These are inevitable and they should be provided. You cannot think of something in every planning scheme. I, therefore suggest that it should be left as it is. The section itself is very clear.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— Would you like to press your amendment?

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— I do not press.

(The amendment was by leave with drawn)

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER — I will put clause No. 16 to the vote of the House.

The question is:

“ That clause 16 do stand part of the Bill.”

(Motion was adopted)

Clause 16 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 17 to 19

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— There are no amendments to clauses 17, 18 and 19. Therefore, I will put them to the vote of the House.

The question is:

“ That clauses 17 to 19 both inclusive do stand part of the Bill ”

(Motion was adopted)

Clauses 17 to 19 both inclusive

Were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 20 and 21

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— There is an amendment to clause 20.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— This refers to levy of betterment tax. In view of what has been stated yesterday I am not moving.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— I shall put clause 20 and 21 to the vote of the House.

The question is:

“ That clauses 20 and 21 do stand part of the Bill.

(Motion was adopted)

Clauses 20 and 21 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSe 22

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— There is an amendment to clause 22.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— I beg to move:

“That for the words ‘not exceeding ten’ the words ‘not exceeding twenty annual instalments’ shall be substituted.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.— Motion Moved.

“That for the words ‘not exceeding ten’ the words ‘not exceeding twenty annual instalments’ shall be substituted.”

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— Clause No. 20 reads:

“Such increase in value shall be the amount by which the value of the Land, on the completion of the execution of the scheme, estimated as if the land were clear of buildings, exceeds the value of the land prior to the execution of the scheme estimated in like manner, and the betterment tax shall be one-third of such increase in value.”

The betterment tax is 1/3 of increase in value of the property. This tax should be given as betterment tax in ten instalments.

In the case of a small site of 40'x60' the difference in value might come to Rs. 10,000/- if it has been developed, and one instalment will be Rs. 1,000/- as per the present provision. This I feel will be a hardship in the case of middle-class and lower-class people. That is why I have suggested in my amendment that instead of 10 instalment it may be 20 instalments so that it will benefit the lower-class and middle-class people.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— Clause 20 refers to levy of betterment tax, clause 21—Assessment of betterment tax by the Authority, clause 22—Manner of payment of betterment tax. 23—Recovery of betterment tax, Clause 24 Payment, etc., no bar to future acquisition, Clause 25—Power of Authority to take up works for further development. The Hon. Member has moved an amendment to Clause 22. In the light of what I stated yesterday, he withdrew his amendment to Clause 20. I would submit that all these clauses are connected clauses on the same issue. When I said that I will look into the question of betterment levy. it means that I am going to look into clauses 22 to 25, both inclusive. Therefore, I suggest to the hon. member to withdraw his amendments to Clause 22 and Clause 25.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— I agree to withdraw my amendments to Clauses 22 and 25,

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER—Has the Hon'ble Member leave of the House to withdraw his amendments to Clauses 22 and 25?

HON'BLE MEMBERS—Yes.

The amendment was by leave withdrawn.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : The question is—

"That Clause 22 do stand part of the Bill".

Clause 22 was added to the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSES 23 to 26

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : The question is—

"That Clauses 23 to 26, both inclusive, do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 23 to 26, both inclusive, were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 27

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN : I beg to move—

"That for the words "seven years" the words "three years" shall be substituted."

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : Amendment moved :

"That for the words "seven years" the words "three year" shall be substituted."

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN : Clause 27 speaks of the Authority to execute the scheme within seven years. It says—

"Where within a period of seven years from the date of the publication in the official Gazette of the declaration under sub-section (1) of section 19, the Authority fails to execute the scheme substantially, the scheme shall lapse and the provisions of section 42 shall become inoperative."

The provisions of Section 42, of course, are very clear. If the period of seven years is given for the authority to execute the scheme and if it is not done in seven years the whole thing to lapse, many of the schemes may lie in cold-storage and remain unexecuted. Therefore, I submit that this period of seven years should be reduced to three years. If it is three years, one may

be alert in executing the scheme, and even if the scheme is lapsed another scheme might come up. I consider that the authorities even before envisaging the scheme would have gone into all details regarding the expenditure to be incurred, etc. Does a scheme require seven years for execution? Should it mean that such a long period is required? My amendment is simple and I request the Hon'ble Chief Minister to accept my amendment.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA—Within the course of seven years, in these days of rising prices, the estimated cost of the scheme may go up even by 100 per cent. Therefore the schemes should be carried out in an expeditious manner so that the cost will not be abnormally high. I therefore request that the period of 3 years may be agreed to.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS—The argument can be had both ways. I agree that there is some force in what the Hon'ble Members say so far as this amendment is concerned. I am putting before the House the other view point. Supposing the scheme is not completed within three years. Again the whole thing has to start afresh if it lapses. In that process so many other difficulties also might crop up. Therefore, I am thinking of a via media—say five years. I wish to move a small amendment to this amendment;

“That for the words “seven years” the words “five years” shall be substituted.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER—Amendment moved—

“That in Clause 27. for the words “seven years” the words “five years” shall be substituted.”

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN—I also agree.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER—The question is—

“That for the words “seven years” the words “five years” shall be substituted.”

The amendment was adopted

The question is—

“That Clause 27, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 27, amended, was added to the Bill.

1—00 P.M.

CLAUSE 28

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—I move :

“That after proviso, the following second proviso shall be added”

‘Provided further if the land beneficial to a lay-out to be allotted to public the City Corporation to be given a suitable compensation for the lands so taken’

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Amendment moved.

“That after proviso, the following second proviso shall be added”

‘Provided further if the land beneficial to a lay-out to be allotted to public the City Corporation to be given a suitable compensation for the lands so taken’.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—Here, I know fully well that ultimately the area vests with the Corporation. The Authority under this clause may take any land of the Corporation. If the Authority takes a land belonging to a private party it has to be acquired and compensation paid to the party and that amount is to be added to the cost of the sites formed. But if the land is taken from the Corporation for forming lay-outs no such compensation is provided for. I would like the Government to give a proportionate cost as compensation to the Corporation. That is the intention of my amendment and I request the Government to accept the amendment.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—Sir, such a question may not arise at all because it is only for a temporary period that the land vests with the Authority for effecting certain improvements and repairs. Again it re-vests with the Corporation. Therefore the hon. Member's fear may not be a valid one. I therefore request the Hon'ble Member to withdraw the amendment.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—I withdraw my amendment.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Has the hon. Member, the leave of the House, to withdraw his amendment ?

HON. MEMBERS.—Yes.

The amendment was by leave withdrawn.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is ;
 “That clause 28 do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted

Clause 28 was added to the Bill

CLAUSE 29

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is.

“That clause 29 do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted

“Clause 29 was added to the Bill”,

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Since it is already time to rise. I would like to know whether we could continue the consideration of remaining clauses, next day or complete the consideration to-day itself ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—Sir, if we prolong these things we will have difficulty to go through other Bills in this House. I would therefore submit that the time fixed be adhered to. If we postpone the consideration of this Bill it will go on for the third day. It should be completed to-day. I think all the amendments given by the hon. Members are unnecessary. If they have any doubts they could as well seek clarification from me. Let us start working with this Authority and thereafter see from our experience what further changes are necessary. If we are to go through the remaining amendments it may take another two hours.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—Of course, the Hon. Chief Minister has stated what he said yesterday. But there are one or two important points on which I wish to seek clarifications.

SRI T. R. SHAMANNA.—As far as I am concerned, in many of the cases sites are allotted without giving proper amenities. I would like that all amenities should be first provided and then the permission to construct the buildings be given. Then the Hon. Chief Minister has already accepted that free sites granted will not be allowed to be sold. Then the Bangalore Development Authority Bill is modelled on the basis of the Delhi Development Authority Bill and there the Central Government gives lot of grants to that Body, while here Government is not contributing anything for its development financially. So I suggest that some financial assistance on the lines of the assistance given to the Delhi Development Authority should be given to Bangalore Development Authority also. With

these observations I do not intend to move the rest of my amendments. Let a copy of the amendments be sent to the Chairman of the Development Authority and see what best could possibly be done to implement some of the points suggested therein.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—We will take up these amendments and we will again discuss it. I have an open mind on the issue. If there is sense in accepting a particular amendment and there is need for it I don't come in the way. That is way let them not further move these amendments and let us go ahead with the passage of the remaining clauses of the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—What do the hon. Members propose to do?

SRI. T. R. SHAMANNA —I do not intend to move the rest of the amendments given notice of by me.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN —I also do not intend to move the rest of the amendments given notice of by me.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Then I shall put clauses 30 to 77, to the vote of the House. The question is:

“That clauses 30 to 77, both inclusive, do stand part of the Bill”.

The motion was adopted

Clauses 30 to 77, both inclusive, were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1, etc.,

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

“That Clause I, the long Title, the Preamble and the Enacting Formula do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted

Clause 1, the long Title, the Preamble and the Enacting Formula were added to the Bill,

Motion to Pass

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I move:

“That the Bangalore Development Authority Bill, 1976, as amended, be passed,

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is.

“That the Bangalore Development Authority Bill, 1976,
as amended, be passed.

The motion was adopted and the Bills as amended was passed.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Now the House will adjourn and meet
on Monday, 16th February 1976 at 1 p.m.

*The House adjourned at eleven minutes past One of the clock to
meet again at one of the clock on Monday, 16th February 1976.*
